

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. 21-CR-71720 MAG
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Gregory Smith,	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).))
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record or Trial Act from February 2, 2022 to March 16, 2022 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and based on the record or March 16, 2022 to March 16, 2022 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public 3161(h)(7)(A).	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the properties or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, the diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hearing	In the data data data data data data data dat
IT IS SO ORDERED.	V (1) T1
DATED:_2/3/2022	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: / Severa Keith	Neal C. Hong
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney